Serial No.: 10/783,403

Confirm No.: 6341 Applicant: Mantis, Lee

Page 6

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The undersigned greatly appreciates the courtesies extended by Examiners Justin Larson and Jes Pascua during the personal interview with the applicant, Mr. Lee Mantis,

at the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 18, 2006. Applicant presented

a proposed amendment for discussion during the interview, and noted various

distinguishing features of the present invention verses that disclosed in the prior art.

Specifically, Applicant discussed the separate strap used for detachably securing the

organizer panel to the vehicle sun visor, and the structural differences between the

organizer panel and the cylindrical carrier described in Stewart.

Claims 1-20 in the case are pending. Claim 3 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 16-20 have

been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Golenz in view of

Stewart. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 14 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as

being unpatentable over Golenz and Stewart, as previously applied, and Harbison in

further view of Godshaw.

As correctly noted by the examiner, Claims 12, 17, and 18 are written (at least in

part) in "means plus function" form. Specifically, base Claim 12 recites means for carrying

objects. Dependant Claims 13, 14, and 19 properly define the means for carrying objects

according to different embodiments of the invention.

Base Claim 12 is amended herein as discussed during the interview to recite the

combination organizer panel and vehicle sun visor, to further clarify the detachability of the

organizer panel from the sun visor, and to further define the planar structure of the panel

surfaces. Applicant respectfully submits that the present claimed combination is neither

disclosed, taught or suggested in the prior art of record; namely, Golenz and Stewart.

Serial No.: 10/783,403

Confirm No.: 6341

Applicant: Mantis, Lee

Page 7

Golenz discloses an organizer panel for a vehicle sun visor, but does not indicate

the use of at least one separate strap for removably attaching the panel to the visor.

Stewart discloses a flexible strap intended to be wrapped around the visor, and including

means for removably attaching a cylindrical carrier designed for holding a canned product.

Presumably, the cylindrical carrier of Stewart when attached would reside adjacent one of

either major surfaces of the sun visor. The structure of the present organizer panel is

distinct from the cylindrical carrier in Stewart in that the organizer panel has opposing

planar inside and outside major panel surfaces. The planar structure of the panel surfaces

(as illustrated in the drawings) allows the combination panel and visor to reside

substantially flat against the ceiling of the vehicle when raised, and substantially flat against

the front windshield when lowered. As such, the removably attached organizer panel does

not interfere with or otherwise compromise the normal intended usage of the sun visor.

For all these reasons discussed above, Applicant submits that base Claim 12 and

its dependant Claim 13-20 are now in condition for allowance. Such action is therefore

respectfully requested at an early date. If the Examiner believes that issues remain for

discussion, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated

below.

Respectfully submitted.

Jeffrey J. Schwartz Attorney for Applicant

Règistration No. 37,532

Jeffrey J. Schwartz Schwartz Law Firm, P.C. SouthPark Towers 6100 Fairview Road, Suite 1135 Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

Tel: 704-552-1889 Fax: 704-552-1866

Email: jjs@schwartz-iplaw.com